





California Simulation Alliance (CSA) Simulation Scenario Template Leadership Specialty

The California Simulation Alliance (CSA) is comprised of simulation users from all disciplines from throughout the state. Several regional collaboratives have formed totaling 7 as of March, 2011: The Rural North Area Simulation Collaborative (RNASC), the Capital Area Simulation Collaborative (CASC), the Bay Area Simulation Collaborative (BASC), the Central Valley Simulation Collaborative (CVSC, the Southern California Simulation Collaborative (SCSC), the Inland Empire Simulation Collaborative (IESC), and the San Diego Simulation Collaborative (SDSC). The CINHC, a non-profit organization focused on workforce development in healthcare provides leadership for the CSA.

The purpose of the California Simulation Alliance (CSA) is to become a cohesive voice for simulation in healthcare education in the state, to provide for inter-organizational research on simulation, to disseminate information to stakeholders, to create a common language for simulation, and to provide simulation educational courses. The goals of the alliance will include providing a home within the CINHC for best practice identification, information sharing, faculty development, equipment/vendor pricing agreements, scenario development, sharing and partnership models. More information can be found on the CSA website at www.californiasimulationalliance.org

All scenarios have been validated by subject matter experts, pilot tested and approved by the CSA before they were published online. All scenarios are the property of the CINHC/CSA. The writers have agreed to release authorship and waive any and all of their individual intellectual property (I.P.) rights surrounding all scenarios. I.P release forms can be obtained by emailing KT Waxman at kt@cinhc.org)





SECTION I: SCENARIO OVERVIEW

Scenario Title:	Leadership Teamwork			
Original Scenario De	eveloper(s):	Christine Delucas		
Date - original scena	ario	August, 2013		
Validation:		September 5, 2013 by Dr. KT Waxman		
Revision Dates:				
Pilot testing:		September 5, 2013 at the University of San Francisco		
Learner Level:		Competent		

Estimated Scenario Time: 15 minutes

Estimated Debriefing time: 30 minutes

Target group: Frontline managers, middle managers, directors

Leadership Competencies:

Knowledge of team leader responsibilities

Understanding and demonstrating knowledge of the phases of team development Adaptability in leadership style and communication techniques

Brief Summary of Case:

The manager has been asked by her/his Vice President to implement the Risk module of the organization's enterprise-wide electronic documentation and personnel system.

The system was implemented almost two years ago, staff is fairly comfortable with it and this is the last module before going totally paperless.





EVIDENCE BASE / REFERENCES (APA Format)

- Marosi, I. & Bencsik, A. (2009). Comparison of educational and organizational teamwork. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century, 13,* 74-89.
- Osbiston, M. (2013). Interprofessional collaborative teamwork facilitates patient centered care: A student practitioner's perspective.
- Snyder, L. G. (2009). Teaching teams about teamwork: Preparation, practice, and performance review. *Business Communication Quarterly*. March, 74-79. doi: 10.1177/1080569908330372





SECTION II: CURRICULUM INTEGRATION

A. SCENARIO LEARNING OBJECTIVES
Learning Outcomes
 Demonstrates effective communication – written and verbal
Demonstrates effective situational techniques – resolving conflict
3. Utilizes the phases of team development to initiate team engagement
Specific Learning Objectives
1. Identify three components of an effective team
2. Recognize three attributes of effective team leaders and team members.
3. Describe the process/stages of developing a high performance team.
4. Discern the difference between a group and a team.
Critical Learner Actions
1. Demonstrates effective communication skills
2. Engages team members in role development
3. Utilizes leadership skills to initiate the team learning processes
4. Creates a forum for discussion and team member participation
5.
6.
7.
8.
9.

B. PRE-SCENARIO LEARNER ACTIVITIES				
Prerequisite Competencies				
Required prior to p	articipating in the scenario			
Knowledge Skills/ Attitudes				
☐ Read assignments prior to participating	 Understands the role of team leader and team member 			
Know the organization's policies regarding team chartering	☐ Communication styles , written and verbal			
Knows organizational communication processes	 Shares openly and understands the need to address and learn from errors 			
Aware of team development and growth processes				





SECTION III: SCENARIO SCRIPT

A. Case summary

The manager has been asked by her/his Vice President to implement the Risk module of the organization's enterprise-wide electronic documentation and personnel system.

The system was implemented almost two years ago, staff is fairly comfortable with it and this is the last module before going totally paperless.

The manager, in conjunction with the VP, selects team members to participate and has invited them to their first meeting.

B. Key contextual details

Ms. Garrett has invited 5 department managers to participate; however, only three are able to make the first meeting (Ms. Young, Ms. Simpson, and Mr. Granger). They have not worked as a team before, are from various departments and are not exactly sure why they've been brought together.

Two arrive late. Ms. Brown shows up late after indicating she won't make it.

C. Scenario Cast				
Leaders/others	X High fidelity simulator			
	□ Mid-level simulator			
	☐ Hybrid (Blended simulator)			
	X Standardized patient/person			
Role	Brief Descriptor	Actor/Confederate (A/C)		
	(Optional)	or Learner (L)		
Ms. Garrett	Manager	L		
Ms. Young	Dept. Manager/Team member	A		
Mr. Granger	Dept. Manager/Team member	A		
Ms. Simpson	Dept. Manager/Team member	A		
Ms. Brown	Dept. Manager/Team Member	A/C		





Mr. Reyes	Dept. Manager/Team Member	A/C
Ms. Jacobs	Dept. Manager	С

Environment, Equipment, Essential props

Recommend standardized set ups for each commonly simulated environment

1. Scenario setting: (example: office, board room, patient room)

Conference room

2.	2. Equipment, supplies, monitors						
(In	simulation action roon	n or	available in adjacen	t co	re storage rooms)		
Χ	Table/chairs		Calculator				
Χ	Computer monitor	Х	Chart				
	Binders	Х	Projector				
	Books						
Χ	Paper and pencils						

CASE FLOW / TRIGGERS/ SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT STATES

Initiation of Scenario:

The meeting is to start at 2 PM and Ms. Garrett has arrived early to be sure the room is unlocked. Mr. Granger and Ms. Simpson arrive on time. After waiting a few minutes, Ms. Garrett starts the meeting. Part way into the introductions, Ms. Young arrives late. After introductions conclude and discussion begins, Ms. Brown arrives late.

PARTICIPANT STATUS	DESIRED LEARNER ACTIONS & TRIGGERS TO MOVE TO NEXT STATE			
1. Role or position	Triggers:	Learner Actions	Debriefing Points:	
Ms. Garrett		Begins the meeting.		
		Starts introductions		
PARTICIPANT STATUS	DESIRED ACTIONS & TRIGGERS TO MOVE TO NEXT STATE			
2.	Triggers:	Learner Actions:	Debriefing Points:	
		Ms. Garrett welcomes	Leader maintains	





Ms. Young rushes in, apologetic	Late arrival before introductions are complete.	Ms. Young, continues introduction. Ascertains team members' understanding of the team's purpose. Establishes ground rules.	composure.
PARTICIPANT STATUS	DESIRED ACTIONS & TRIG	GERS TO MOVE TO NEXT STATE	•
The three team members look perplexed and indicate they have no idea why they're there.	Triggers:	Learner Actions: Ms. Garrett inquires to learn why they are unaware of the team's charter. In so doing, she regroups and starts at the beginning and addresses her error in not communicating in such a way as they were informed.	Debriefing Points: Seeks clarification from team members as to why they were unaware. Discusses issues around appropriate notification of team charter, goals and objectives. Discusses team leader and member roles and responsibilities
PARTICIPANT STATUS	DESIRED ACTIONS & TRIG	GERS TO MOVE TO NEXT STATE	
4. Ms. Brown wanders	Triggers: Ms. Brown arrives	Learner Actions: Welcomes Ms. Brown,	Debriefing Points Ms. Garrett and team
in cell phone in hand.	after introductions are complete and	then continues explaining the process	members maintain composure.
	discussion is in process.	of team selection, etc. and the charter. She reviews team	Ms. Garrett addresses the process of developing and becoming a team.
		process and obtains agreement to set	Answers and encourages questions.





		ground rules.	Ends with establishing ground rules and setting next meeting date.		
Scenario End Point:					
Ends with initial ground	d rules established and	dagreement for time of ne	xt meeting.		
Suggestions to decreas	<u>e</u> complexity:				
All arrive on time.					
Suggestions to increase	Suggestions to <u>increase</u> complexity:				
After discussion of the "hand-picked" with the		el un-qualified to participat	e even though they were		
Ms. Jacobs barges into included as a team me	<u> </u>	ning about being left out an	d demanding to be		





APPENDIX A: Optional digital images of scenario milieu/set-up			
Insert digital photo here	Insert digital photo here		
Insert digital photo here	Insert digital photo here		





APPENDIX B: DEBRIEFING GUIDE

General Debriefing Plan						
X Individual	Group	With Video	X Without Video			
	Debrie	fing Materials				
X Debriefing Guide X	X Debriefing Guide X Objectives X Debriefing Points					
Core Leade	ership Competencies	to Consider for D	ebriefing Scenarios			
X Leadership	X Teamwor	k/Collaboration	Evidence-based leadership			
X Communication	Human fac	tors	Systems thinking			
	Sample Ques	tions for Debriefir	ng			
1. How did the experience	ence of (identify the	human factor) in I	eadership feel for you?			
2. Did you have the kr	nowledge and skills t	o meet the learnir	g objectives of the scenario?			
3. What GAPS did you	identify in your owr	n knowledge base	and/or preparation for the			
simulation experier	nce?					
4. What RELEVANT inf	formation was missii	ng from the scenar	io that impacted your			
performance? How	v did you attempt to	fill in the GAP?				
5. How would you har	ndle the scenario dif	ferently if you coul	d?			
6. In what ways did yo	ou feel the need to c	heck the ACCURAC	CY of the data you were given?			
7. In what ways did yo	ou perform well?					
8. What communication	on strategies did yοι	uuse to validate th	e ACCURACY of your information			
or decisions you an	d/or you and your te	eam members mad	le/considered?			
9. What three factors	were most SIGNIFIC	ANT that you will t	ransfer to your			
leadership/manage	ment setting?					
10. At what points in the scenario were your leadership actions specifically directed toward						
PREVENTION of a n	egative outcome?					
11. Discuss how roles a	nd responsibilities n	night vary under di	fferent circumstances.			
12. Discuss how curren	t nursing practice co	ntinues to evolve	in light of new evidence.			
13. Consider potential i	managerial, leadersh	nip and organizatio	nal risks and how to avoid them.			
14. Consider potential patient and personnel risks and how to avoid them.						

Discuss the leader's role in design, implementation, and evaluation of information technologies to

support management and leadership development.





Alabaa faaf I aa aaataa		
Notes for future sessions:		